Never expect the expectation: on the misapplication, and ultimately limited precision, of probability forecasts

Leonard Smith

Naval Research Laboratory, Monterey, California, 17 December 2018

Abstract

Probability forecasts abound, in weather, sports, anticipative disaster risk reduction and the IPCC. Uncertainty Quantification (UQ) now has its own SIAM journal. But UQ is often restricted to **handle** and uncertainty guidance for real world decision making is rarely available. The probability of an outcome conditioned on having a perfect more is an expensive but achievable aim. It is also irrelevant to decision support without quantitative acknowledgement of the impact of the fact that the model uses imperfect. The P(x| FALSE) can still have value, as long as the details of FALSE are considered, or it may not.

The evaluation of weathelike probabilistic forecasting will be discussed both in terms of the mathematical properties of various skill scess and in terms of valuing those scores in practice for the insurance sector. Applications of Anticipatory Disaster Risk Reduction will also be noted, in particular using the (single case) success of toe TSTART joint work saving lives in the Pakistaedtwave earlier in 2018. Uncertainty Guidance aims to clarify when the best available forecast is adequate for a particular application, and take it off the table when it is not. The value to decision makers of taking the best available modelsed forecas off the table is documented; uncertainty guidance provides an indication of when to do this, and how tose imperfect probability forecasts when we have evidence that they are informative.