Working Papers on the Nature of Evidence: How Well Do 'Facts' Travel? No. 34/08

"On a Mission" with Mutable Mobiles

Mary S. Morgan

© Mary S. Morgan Department of Economic History London School of Economics; and Faculty of Economics University of Amsterdam

August 2008

"The Nature of Evidence: How Well Do 'Facts' Travel?" is funded by The Leverhulme Trust and the ESRC at the Department of Economic History, London School of Economics.

For further details about this project and additional copies of this, and other papers in the series, go to:

http://www.lse.ac.uk/collection/economichistory/

Series Editor:

Dr. Jon Adams Department of Economic History London School of Economics Houghton Street London, WC2A 2AE

Tel: +44 (0) 20 7955 6727 Fax: +44 (0) 20 7955 7730

"On a Mission" with Mutable Mobiles¹

Mary S. Morgan

Abstract

The task of economic planning in the new nation of Nigeria in the early 1960s tested the limits of economic technologies: its recipes for development, its possibilities of measurement, and from differences in political economy. These dimensions of the problem beset not only the Nigerian politicians and civil servants but an array of international experts: each on their own mission to make the new economy. This story of mutable mobiles is revealed in the detailed diary entries of the economist Wolfgang Stolper - a man "on a mission", for he was charged with making "the plan". This first Nigerian economic plan was a mobile document that cycled around a changing circle of civil servants and politicians and only gathered powerful allies amongst them because of the mutability its elements. This mutability rested on a combination of decentralized knowledge and on regional democratic preferences. And, to make a plan that would gain acceptance outside the centre of calculation, these local facts and choices had to be made consistent with each other and with the projected future of the economy as a whole. This is where economic theory came in: it created a consistency between the current and future economy so that future facts fictions - and current facts made good travelling companions for each other in their circulations around the political and economic community.

1. Introduction

How do you refashion an economy? When a new state emerges out of an old colony there is no necessary parallel economic transformation, yet the desire for such a new world is strong. What kind of an economy could be made? What degree of choice could there be in such an ambitious undertaking? How could such a future be fashioned? And who would make it happen?

These were the questions that had to be answered in planning the economy for the newly independent Nigeria in the early 1960s. This was the mission that drove Wolfgang F. Stolper, an American economist, to sign up as Head of the Economic Planning Unit - that is, as a Nigerian civil servant - in 1960. Ten days after his arrival Stolper wrote home to his wife:

I have the most enviable assignment a man can have: developing an integrated plan for the most important African economy with the biggest and most hopeful future of any African nation. Moreover, there are political aspects to the work which are also fascinating and important..... Even hardships are worth this opportunity. It is like peaceful army service. As long as I have an air-conditioned apartment, which will be essential in the humid season, I will get enough sleep. (27 July 1960, *Diary,* 2003: 2)²

In these few lines, we see both the optimistic idealism of those religious or humanitarian missionaries setting out to change the lives of some group of humans somewhere else, and the diplomatic and military sense of one sent overseas to undertake a special mission or awkward task. And presciently - given how early his remarks are in his nearly two year assignment - that he would experience both the physical hardships and develop the wider sensibilities associated with these notions of being "on a mission."

² There are two versions of the diary, a published version labelled here *Diary* 2003, edited by Gray (2003); and a somewhat fuller, typescript, version (typed by his wife) in his archive, labelled here as "Archive Diary," in Wolfgang F. Stolper's papers, Series 1, Duke University Economists' Papers Project (hereafter, "Stolper's Papers").

We can report his mission from the receiving side too. As we might expect from the specific political context: this was not a prosaic exercise in economic management. On the contrary, it was planning the economy of a new state in Africa, in which the idea of "development" signalled looking forward, away from the colonial past. We see these high hopes in an advertisement that Stolper obviously tore out from a Nigerian newspaper in late 1961, under the banner "Wanted: Planned Economy," which describes planning as the "technical know-how for the building of a strong, proud, free and prosperous Nigeria."³ Similarly, the Minister of Finance, introducing Stolper's Development Plan in his "Mobilisation" budget in the Federal Nigerian Parliament in March 1962, is reported to have said:

> I can see a vision of a new and prosperous Nigeria - a Nigeria whose blood is virile and whose aspirations are fired by noble objectives. The sleeping giant of Africa is awake and determined to take her rightful place marching with the rest of humanity. (Stolper, 1963: 169)

Here we see the claim to newness, elements of Nigerian nationalism, continental ambitions, and even perhaps of pan Africanism. The religious and military language sound like a crusade, appropriate for a mission setting out for making something anew. His carefully chosen budget label of "mobilisation" strikes a military note, while the plan's stated aims "a modern, diversified and virtually self-sustaining [economic] system" offered a utopian, but unspecified, vision of the future.⁴

This Nigerian planning project not only allows us to explore aspects of this interesting phenomenon: the "economic mission," but provides the

³ Stolper's Papers, Box 4, File "Newspaper Clippings on the Plan," It is unclear who posted the column and whether it was an editorial comment or an advert. It was extremely well-informed about the activities of planning at the time, so possibly it was funded by a political party.

⁴ This generality was of course politically astute given the regional difficulties of the time. An interesting comparison of utopias of the per

rather quickly and effectively. Thus "development planning" reflected a broadening out of these various growth and planning experiences and aims. Yet what 'development' meant was still not entirely clear, and those who have tried to make sense of this tangled episode in the history of economics have pointed to the radical shifts over time in the fashionable recipes for development, and to different ideological views that informed different planning ideals.⁶

While the constitution of a developed economy remained vague, the development literature of the 1950s seems to have less problem in defining "un- or under-development," and there was a very widely and strongly-held belief amongst economists, governments and international agencies that such underdeveloped countries could indeed become better off by making use of various forms of economic intervention and direction (see Speich, 2008). And, while this optimism now seems misplaced, the contemporary actors' terminology of "on a mission" captures both the faith that poor and underdeveloped economies could become rich, modernized ones, and that the planners, "economic missionaries" (my term), could be instrumental in creating this conversion.

As we will see, there were many optimistic planners in this planning project, and while there were plenty of ambitions within Nigeria, many of those "on a mission" wanting to plan the economy came from outside more like military sorties, than his resident commitment. This was after all the Cold War, a political ideological war in which economic beliefs and of development planning can be seen to have predicted correctly all the problems that Stolper had to grapple with during his work in Nigeria. Questions of the time ranged over the role of the state vs the market; over the use of investment in capital projects vs macro management vs input-output planning; over the role of domestic vs international resources; and over the order and speed of developmental policies (for example whether heavy industry should precede consumer income creation via agriculture, etc). Different international institutions, research centres and individual economists each had their own preferred way of going about planning for there was no universally agreed recipe for development or, perhaps, none that was applicable in exactly the same way everywhere for, of course, each country was very different from the next, just as the problem of reconstruction of Europe was not the same problem as developing the countries of Africa, which in turn were not those of Asia. This variety of questions and experience was used to buttress arguments about different kinds of planning that - as we shall see - spilled into the Nigerian planning process.

3. Our Hero and His Mission

"missions," journeys of economic investigation, analysis, oversight and programme design for bodies such as USAID, the UN, and the IBRD/World Bank (International Bank for Reconstruction and Development).¹² The path to these activities had begun in the late 1940s with a summer job at the ILO (International Labour Organisation) reporting on economic development in Asia, and then, in the late 1950s, the construction of national income figures as a way to analyse the structure and development of the East German economy under planning for MIT's Centre for International Studies.¹³ governed regions, with their own tribal, ethnic and religious mixes, and that were socially and economically distinct. English was - luckily for him an unofficial language shared amongst the "establishments": the educated elites of political, state and economic power. Stolper's base was the federal capital, Lagos, a port city based on island/reclaimed land in the hot, humid coastal zone, and physically located within the Western region of the country.

When our hero, a middle-aged German/American economist, arrived in Lagos, he faced the challenging, even Herculean, task, of constructing a 5-year "national development plan" for the newly independent country. Although the Economic Planning Unit of which he was (administrative, not political) head, was funded by the Ford Foundation, he was nevertheless not an ex-patriate advisor, but a civil servant, and his unit an element in the relatively newly created Nigerian Federal Ministry of Economic Development. He arrived on 17July 1960 and left on 3 June 1962, a two-year period of service with one long break (between September 1960 and February 1961 for some pre-committed teaching). As we shall see, his task was a very considerable indeed. But as we shall also find, his commitment proved equal to his mission - a mission not to develop the Nigerian economy, but to create a plan for its development.

Nigeria of 1959, an initiative of Charles Thompson (the head civil servant in the Ministry that Stolper joined) which brought together all the available statistical evidence (and some map evidence) about Nigeria's economy, up to about 1957 or 1958.¹⁹ At the same time, E. F. Jackson and Pius Okigbo had been constructing national income accounts for the Nigerian economy to fill in the period 1950-57 and these became available to the planning team in typescript at a certain point.²⁰ The importance of these latter national income accounts was that, taken together with the earlier estimates for 1950-51, they could be used to establish aggregate growth rates, and in a consistent way. These data were therefore an important complement to the freely measured growth rates of various economic particulars such as the outputs of minerals or cocoa, or the patterns of exports and imports, as found in the tables of the 1955 IBRD report or the *Economic Survey* of 1959.

It was on the basis of all these data that Stolper's optimism about the future of Nigeria was based, for they suggested strong economic growth (around 4% p.a. in GDP), an increase in capital investment particularly social overhead investments, a healthy population growth, and a good resource basis, including the new discovery of oil reserves (see Stolper, 1963: 169 & 172). But just because of this healthy growth, much of the information obtained in the early 1950s, and even the more recent data for 1957, was of course quite out of date by the time Stolper arrived. And much stuff was missing for this was a decentralised country: apart from the Federal Government's economics and statistics teams, each of the autonomous regions had its own bureaucracy, that acted as a separate body of hunters and gatherers of economic data and that formed separate centres of calculation. These three regions had different colonial legacies, different political power-bases, and different degrees of economic development: the Western region had the most effective degree of economic data collection and economic knowledge, followed by the Eastern region and then the Northern. And if data were not missing, their accuracy was doubtful. For example, a well designed agricultural survey was conducted by the rather effective Western region, but even they rated much of the data they collected as only +/- 15% accurate, and there were some elements with an unfortunate level of +/- 50% accuracy!²¹

If we look at Nigerian planning as a process where the departure point was known, the aim was a defined and shared one, and there were known ways to get from here to there, we have the wrong impression. As Stolper wrote in the immediate aftermath of his planning experience: information gap. He took every opportunity he could to travel through the

to get information out of the Federal statistics office began with polite requests to an equivalent level civil servant, but then involved a series of follow-up visits to that office and necessitated arguments about why it was needed in such haste and such form, followed by requests via senior levels, and - eventually - by his sending his most junior planning office member to look at the statistics in their filing cabinets and borrow those files for the planning unit to work on!²⁴

Apart from his diary, Stolper's archive of personal papers holds many other items from his two years as a Nigerian civil servant. There are several other important contemporary resources in which he reflected upon his work in Nigeria. In April 1962, he discussed some dimensions of the plan at a meeting of the Nigerian Economic Society (see his 1962 and the discussions that follow).²⁵ In February 1963, he was asked to contribute a paper on his experiences to the general science monthly Scientific American, who compiled a special issue on economic planning; and published two other papers on his experiences.²⁶ Finally, his Planning without Facts (1966) analyses his Nigerian experience for a professional audience. At every level, these resources reveal that Stolper's view of planning is a pragmatic one. But they also reveal how far he was feeling his way. When he arrived in Nigeria, he was not quite sure how to undertake his planning task, as we see in an early memo he wrote for the Joint Planning Committee of the National Economic Council five weeks after his arrival in late August 1960: Here he set out a shopping list of things to be measured, things he hoped he would find, things that he hoped different Federal and regional offices might supply, and how he thought of putting these together.²⁷

Morgan (forthcoming) for more on Stolper as observer.

²⁴ Diary, 2003: 67 & 72-74.

²⁵ This discussion was by local economists both involved in the planning project and academics who were well informed about its processes and so offers a close view of contemporary informed Nigerian opinion. According to his diary entry, Stolper found himself in agreement with most of the criticisms of the plan, particularly those of Oje Aboyade, who replace him as chief of

Stolper's planning technology evolved as he worked, but his basic approach, as in information gathering, was to rely on the wider Nigerian economy and polity in selecting the elements of the plan. In this context, area. We therefore emphasized development of the planning framework from below and the decentralization of decisionmaking in the execution of the plan. (Stolper, 1963: 178)

After collecting all the information he could find about a project, he set out to calculate a "payoff" measurement: a kind of cost-benefit analysis both in real terms and in monetary terms, to see if that project seemed viable. For example, an Israeli designed scheme to set up farm settlements for training farmers sounded wonderful, but the number of young men it would train was so small that the cost per person and per farm turned out to be very expensive, and not economically viable.²⁹ This kind of calculation was where his economic science came in: knowing not just how to measure things, or to value difficult things that were not already valued, but how to figure out what each activity would cost in real terms to the economy over the forthcoming time period, and how it would benefit it and when. This calculation regime enabled him to assess the coherence of each of the proposed activities and to rank these in terms of viability of achievement and usefulness for the future of the economy. All this depended upon the information he gathered in the field and through the various contacts and offices about the likely costs and benefits and so getting answers to a multitude of prosaic and often technical questions such as: just how much ground nut oil could be pressed from a new machine of a particular design, and how far profits from it would depend upon the prices that might be paid.

The second important step where planning required his economic expertise was in examining the consistency in three different respects: of all these activities with each other, *and* with the overall resources and capacities of economy, *and* with the likely changes in these capacities over the forthcoming period. The coherence of the overall plan depended

Chakravarty and Lewis: an interesting and eclectic collection of "great names.") ²⁹ Diary, 2003: 242 and 274. See also his 1963a for a longer discussion of the pay-off

upon the fit of the set of economic activities and investments proposed by the democratic representatives with the likely aggregate resources of the economy over the intended five years of the plan. This is where Stolper claimed the usefulness, indeed, necessity of using economic theory where there was a structural understanding of the whole:

> It is easy enough to produce optimistic projections and make things come out right. But the real function of an aggregative framework is to allow one to test the consistency of individual decisions. Only if individual decisions can be linked to each other and to the aggregations are the aggregations a legitimate tool of planning. (Stolper, 1966: 15)

This three-fold consistency requirement was not a simple macroeconomic adding up problem. It was an assessment - in terms of the resources and potentials of the people, place and available funds - that entailed sophisticated and complicated calculation using the conceptual framework from economic theory. Stolper thought this a matter requiring not only his greater understanding of theory and expertise (compared to others in the planning team) but of his imagination:

> I work, for a theorist, with imagination - not intuition, but just imagination. I have the ability of being able to extract a maximum of information from scanty data, but this requires the painstaking study of detail. Lyle and Peter [his junior American colleagues] don't quite understand yet, how the detail is used in a general context. (Stolper, 3 July 1961; *Diary* (2003): 141-42)

It was not sufficient that the bits of the plan fitted together (the first consistency check) and that the plan fitted into the macro-constraints of the national income accounts (a second consistency check) but that attention was paid to the ratios of capital to re-current spending and re-current physical capacities (a third problem of consistency). It was no

calculations.

good Stolper argued, as an example, to undertake lots of capital spending on schools or hospitals, or even to be given hospitals from an aid donor, if you could not then afford the current expenditure to keep them running and had not sufficient trained teachers, doctors and nurses to staff them.³⁰ This sounds a simple point, but none of these activities could be considered in isolation. His analysis suggested that "the plan" should have less immediate social overhead investment and more immediately productive investment - for example in energy, or agricultural productivity - to generate more re-current expenditure possibilities for example, for schools and hospitals in the future.

And, as if all this was not enough to keep him busy all hours of the day and much of the night, Stolper was always on the look out for the dangers that came from being a "new state" with new rulers, and from the intersection of political and personal gains.³¹ Such dangers were multiple and sometimes surprising. On the one hand they included the obviously overambitious capital projects which, while economically beneficial, could not be resourced, or managed, or kept going on a continuing financial basis, as well as the important social overhead investments which were needed, but still could not be done overnight. For example the Ashby report on education set out the case for universal education with a class size the same as the US. As Stolper noted, the report was valuable just because it enabled his planning unit to make the calculations which showed that the real and monetary costs to the economy for such educational investment would have been so startlingly large, that it could not be done all at once.³² Other transport or large prestige projects also fell into this category. Stolper was too late on the scene to influence

 ³⁰ Draft of the *Scientific American*, article, Stolper Papers, box 4, file "Scientific American," 20.
³¹ For example, in a 1969 working paper reflecting on the crisis in development planning, he commented ironically on the endemic problem of corruption: "In Nigeria the planners had to help

decisions about the Niger Dam project, but the economic costs and benefits of its various elements, and the political importance of this North-South project, were a continuing and worrying item in the planning process. A more serious danger were those projects which he thought had no obvious economic benefit but were just very expensive symbols of statehood: for example, some had expressed the desire for a new national (or possibly shared West African) commercial airline service.³³ This was a project of which he was extremely wary, believing that jets for commercial use would engender the desire for an air force, and this was an even more expensive luxury.

Yet, he was also especially anxious to avoid a plan which would involve great underspending. He learnt to expect underspending during the early years of the plan, apparently because in this kind of was planning simply a schedule of capital projects funded by international aid or a programme of governmental expenditure. Rather, Stolper's aim was to create a plan that would move the economy towards selfsustaining growth: this meant looking for steps that could increase the easily separated. These circles proved critical in the Nigerian planning process. First there were the everyday working circles of all those involved in different planning teams in Nigeria. Then there was the fact that those actors kept changing as they cycled round onto other tasks in the process known as "Nigerianization." Finally, there was the constant stream of visiting missionaries circulating through Nigeria from overseas. We find the political present in every detail of his daily accounts of working through these various planning circles (see Figure 1, below). circle of information and calculation, which offered the usual kinds of tensions and differences of opinion between colleagues working closely together under immense time pressure but without control over the inputs of information they needed or how the outputs would be used by their political masters. Although he was responsible for the plan to his Minister of Economic Development and to his Permanent Secretary (the chief civil servant in the ministry), he also had to interact closely with Narayan Prasad, the Indian "Economic Advisor" to the Prime Minister, who was not responsible for planning but for giving economic advice at a higher political level than Stolper. Prasad also chaired the JPC (Joint Planning Committee) to which Stolper reported, so that this was an important axis within this circle, and was far more difficult than any other work relationship.

As well as this immediate circle, Stolper's decentralized planning ideals meant that his group worked with Federal civil servants across different ministries, Federal politicians in those ministries, and with the parallel set of such civil servants and politicians at each of the three regional government levels. Recall that these relations were important for bureaucracy underwent a process of "Nigerianization." The British civil servants were being replaced by Nigerian ones, and it was part of the career path (inherited from the colonial administration) that civil servants regularly rotated from one job to another. As a result, Stolper worked with three "permanent secretaries" (these first two civil service heads in the ministry were British: Charles Thompson and Toby Lewis, and the third, a Nigerian, Godfrey Lardner, who had worked on the original national income accounts with Prest and Stewart) and three different ministers (Alhaji Shagari, Jaja Wachuku and Waziji Ibrahim) during his two year stint, as people moved round or up the system. The same process of Nigerianization and political movement was happening in each of the regional government levels. And in the midst of all this changing of personalities, Nigeria was also experiencing a jostling for power between the regions, and between the regions and the Federal level.³⁵

Stolper had to persuade each new minister, and each new civil servant, not just in his ministry but in all the other ministries and in each region, into relations of trust in order to gain their collaboration for information flows, in order to elicit their preferences for projects, and in order to gain their agreement with his assessment of those projects, that is, into his whole way of thinking about planning and the plan's content:

> The actual planning process started, therefore, out by seeing all the ministries and statutory corporations in all the governments, finding out what they thought they were doing and what their plans were; finding out why they wanted to do what; what they hoped to achieve; why the particular approaches were in their opinion preferable to others; in short it started by trying to pick their brains while simultaneously setting it to work in the desired direction. There is no doubt that in many respects the

accomplishment of the Plan, such as they are, are not found in the plan itself, nor even in all the things that might have found their way with it but were eliminated in the process or formulating it. The real accomplishments were frequently in the unspectacular change that occurred in some Ministries in the manner in which they themselves approached their tasks. (Stolper, Draft for *Scientific American*, 1963: 22)³⁶

A third planning circle was created by the remarkable number of visits from "Cold War" missionaries circulating through Nigeria. Such international missions during his period included visitations from official government and international agencies such as the FAO, IBRD/World Bank, IMF, ICA/USAID and other US groups; from commercial interests with aid links from places such as Germany and Switzerland, and technical aid from other interested parties, such as the Ford Foundation, and so forth. All these missions wanted to "help" Nigeria on its way, but they had different interests and different requirements, asked for different kinds of information and required different kinds of persuasion, and had the potential to offer different sorts of "development aid." Unlike the three kings bearing gifts, those offering aid to the new state first preferred to check that their proposed gifts were likely to be well used (though donorrecipient commitments were not always explicit in the client states of the cold war in this earlier period). These missions to Nigeria were even matched by a reverse mission: a group of politicians and senior civil servants sent from Nigeria in the summer of 1961 on an "Economic Mission" that travelled to both superpowers, their satellites, and the international agencies in order to gather promises of aid, sometimes for particular projects, sometimes for particular technical assistance. All these missions had a strong purpose, but they differed in those purposes.

³⁶ Draft paper for *Scientific American*, Box 4, File "Scientific American," Stolper's Papers.

Some remained mysterious: for example, ostensibly it arrived to help the Northern region with its development plans, but just what did the

In Stolper's view, Prasad's planning involved no constraints and no responsibility at macro level, and perhaps no real micro-analysis at the level of physical resource planning. For Stolper, this was a "no theory" approach to planning!

This battle in ideals was not a private one but invaded the planning process. It was picked up in a neat way in the 1961 newspaper text

the plan),³⁹ Stolper's plan was accepted by the Council of Ministers and passed in the Federal Parliament on 4 April 1962. But even after this apparently final moment, indeed, right after acceptance by Parliament and in the process of its final revisions (to incorporate the final figures from the regions) so that the plan could be published and sent off to the consortium of aid agencies, Prasad managed to alter a crucial assumption and figure in one of the macro accounts while Stolper was away.⁴⁰ These final figures had suggested that either expenditure would have to be cut (a difficulty given that the plan was already agreed domestically) or that consumption would have to be cut (not just politically dangerous, but against the whole aim of Stolper's plan which was to increase individual well-being). To find a way out of this bind, Prasad had arbitrarily assumed a larger underspend. From Stolper's perspective, not only would such an underspend have been unacceptable to the donor community, but the assumption itself created inconsistencies in the plan, which in turn meant that it could not be sent to the donor community, nor could it be used for action domestically.⁴¹ These implied consequences caused a huge political problem for Stolper: he was obliged to take his fight to the top levels of the political system, and spend many frantic hours recalculating the main aggregates of the plan again, in order to reestablish consistency for it to remain acceptable to the Nigerian Federal government and be ready for them to take to the aid donors.^{42(These implied consequmesticalImes/TT} not reach their intended level, but, as Stolper expected, there was also an underspend: the flexibility of projects was designed to make that not a problem. The huge price falls in Nigeria's main agricultural exports (vegetable oil and cocoa) turned out to be more damaging to the plan in the short term. But the real problems came from the already emergent inter-regional rivalry that erupted into civil war in 1966, in the middle of the plan's period. Stolper always remained optimistic about Nigeria's economy and its future, yet, because of the political circumstances of the plan's collapse, his missionary zeal and heroic attempts to plan a viable economic direction for the country's future now seem tinged with pathos.

6. Mutable Mobiles and Travelling Facts

Here we have a story about mutable mobiles, a story which offers some important points of contrast to Bruno Latour's 1986 account in which the emergence and power of science are dependent on the development of writing and imaging techniques that stabilize scientific knowledge into various kinds of "marks" that circulate as "immutable mobiles."⁴⁴ Certainly Stolper's economic plan was a mobile document that circulated economic science knowledge around the political planning circles, but the plan's circulation depended on the flexible nature of many economic numbers: that is, mobility depends on mutability, not on immutability. The mutability of these marks derived from the nature of economic knowledge in the context of planning, and it was critical not just to ensure mobility, but to the gathering of allies that would mobilize the power of economic science. Stolper was another critical ingredient here too, for the decentralized kind of planning that he favoured depended not just on local knowledge but on local preferences, so that mutability was

believed that the decentralized economy must take responsibility. "Planning, coordination, and general direction can come from the center; execution cannot." (Stolper, 1966: 13) ⁴⁴ Although this discussion mainly relates to the immutable mobiles argument of Latour 1986, as it goes on, it will come to focus on a parallel kind of "chain of reference" to those discussed by

directly built in to the mobilization process.

6.i Mutability

Let us begin with the mutability issue. There are number of reasons why economic planning facts are mutable which are generic to the problem rather than a particular peculiarity of Stolper's or Nigeria's experience.

First, each planning document contains a set of marks (in maps, words and numbers), evidence about the economy: some are already hardened facts, some are the subject of further research, and some are projected facts. Economics evidence in such planning circumstances often begins with rule-of-thumb guesses, to be replaced by proxies, and then better substitutes. So, even where pieces of economic evidence are expressly collected for a plan, often with great difficulty, they are quickly substituted by others. Thus, the pieces of evidence used in planning do not so much harden and stabilise as become substituted up to the point of use.⁴⁵ They may stabilise given time and often do so over time, for statistical offices typically keep revising the number of past figures on the economy for several years after their first announcement. But for planning purposes, the exigencies of time and politics mean that they are only fixed by the point when the plan is made and acted upon. In other words, rather than being fixed before the plan, the plan itself fixes the facts to be used.

In addition to all this, the economy is not static. As the economy changes, new information appears, so that while each individual mark might be in the process of stabilizing, new less hardened ones keep joining the process. There is never enough time for absolute certainty, and if time is allowed for them to harden (that is, to be come more certain

Latour, 1999.

⁴⁵ I thank Patrick Wallis for a discussion on this point.

and more accurate), many of those facts would be out of date and so of no use for the task. Even then, once execution starts, they will invariably alter again.

Second, many planning numbers are marks about the future not the present nor past, and so it might be tempting to argue that these mobiles are mutable because they never can be fixed economic knowledge. This misses the point: a plan can be defined as an outline on which you act; it depends upon a set of evidence that has sufficient validity for action rather than on known and immutable facts. Just as our facts about future climate change, for a long period appeared quite mutable and uncertain, now appear sufficiently certain to most of us that they have prompted actions: we know they are not immutable, but they are sufficiently definitive to be taken as facts.⁴⁶ Under what circumstances do such facts about the economy of the future harden enough to gain the fact-like status that enables people to act upon them? We shall return to this question.

Third, transformation of marks is an inevitable part of the process of economic planning. In this Nigerian case, local practitioners provided incredibly detailed information on topics ranging from the fisheries in Lake Chad, the production of chocolates, the pressing of oil, the cost of

planning assessment. Their analysis transformed these bits of primary evidence or facts into a form suitable for a place in the plan, thus creating a secondary set of economic numbers or facts (marks) to be circulated around.

Fourth, as different ministries decided different priorities and different politicians made preferential decisions "outside" the plan which had to be taken account inside the plan, the individual sections and assessment were revised, but so too, the overall plan had also to be continually revised. Since the people making these priorities were also changing jobs in the political system, the individual sections gained an additional mutability.

So, as these planning sheets travelled around the circles of political economy, they were continually revised for lots of different reasons. The marks on planning sheets were mutable: because the scientific subject matter was mutable both in terms of the nature of economic evidence and the nature of projects; because of the process of planning itself; and because of the mutability of those involved in the planning process and their preferences.

6.ii Mutability and Mobility

Mutability and mobility are causally linked in this micro-history. Stolper's planning documents are mobile only because many of the facts (or numbers) that they embody are mutable. If these documents could not be updated according to economic changes and local economic knowledge, they would not have been circulated so willingly, and if they did not accommodate changing local preferences, they would not gather enough sufficiently powerful allies that would eventually get the plan adopted by the Council.a.pful wally get the plan circulation of these documents was thus critical to their ability to gather power and the mutability of their elements critical to their circulation.

This link between mutable numbers and their mobility was well understood by Stolper. From his point of view, this mutability was not a sign of error or failure in economic science, nor of political interference in how to make and sell things in particular markets. This illuminates the meaning and relevance of Stolper's claim to be using economic "theory" versus Prasad's project planning.

From the point of view of the "allies," their support for the plan depended upon the mutability of most of the details - but not on the macro-economic framework that Stolper used to determine the overall constraints on the set of these mutable elements. Their knowledge, or pl_____ of capital works and its justification, the additions recurrent cost generated, the manpower needed, foreign exchange components, where applicable tax revenues, the cash flows and so on. The answers could be coordinated, would lead to questions, to suggestions, to quarrels, to successes and plan produced by Stolper, without even having his list of the detailed and still mutable proposals that made up the plan and in preference to a fixed list of prestigious projects that Prasad believed the relevant material of the plan and for persuasion. For this particular US mission, the acceptability of the plan lay in its scientific framing, and it is here that they sought stability. No doubt it helped considerably that there was already a strong trust relationship between the head of that mission, Rivkin, and Stolper, for they had been colleagues together at MIT in 1958-9.49 So, in contrast to Latour's account of science in which immutable mobiles enable weak manipulators of inscriptions to dominate the world, here we have a rather strong manipulator - indeed in Stolper a very obstinate and persuasive manipulator - of mutable mobiles, strong enough at least to deliver a plan that would pass the economic and political hurdles, though economic historians may well doubt that his plan really dominated the future of the Nigerian economy. Or, to put it another way, the Nigerian plan exhibits what Donald Mackenzie (2006) calls "generic" performativity (economic knowledge used by participants in the economy) but whether the plan affected the Nigerian economy and succeeded in "effective" performativity was more doubtful.⁵⁰

announce the start of Stolper's economic plan to the Nigerian Parliament in 1962. "Mobilisation," in Latour's account (1986), refers to the power to make others believe the marks of science, and so act on them. Whereas in his account, mobilization depends primarily on those evidential marks of science being immutable, here, we have seen that gathering powerful allies in the Nigerian economic planning process was associated with the mutability of the elements of evidence. And whereas Latour's mobilization depends upon three further characteristics in his marks: those of presentability, readability and combinability, the focus here is on an additional virtue that Stolper emphasized in his economic planning: namely that of *consistency*, an important quality that enables planning facts to mobilize allies.

One of Latour's examples is the French statistical office, INSEE, within which all the marks of the economy are gathered together and combined with each other in the set of national income accounts (NIA) from which the gross national product (GNP) figures are constructed. But more important than their combination for us is that these national income accounts present something that economists think of as a consistent picture of the economy, one in which everything countable is accounted for, none of them is double counted, and those elements that are supposed to balance do balance, all within a particular way of understanding the economy. Consistency is a fundamental requirement of these accounts in the same way that business accounts must add up, balance, so forth.

We are so used to using national income accounting notions nowadays that few realise that these accounts are constructed according to one particular conceptual portrait of the economy (there are others), one in which we see the economy as an integrated system within which aggregate or macroeconomic level behaviours are important to the way

informed about the planning mode.

the economy works. Stolper's planning depended upon this conceptual framework but in a more complex form. Usually, these portraits are reduced to one format by translating everything into monetary amounts, but Stolper's plan depended upon his ability to *create* consistency within and between both physical and monetary resources, and between current and future resources of both physical and monetary kinds in the context of a changing economy (one which, if all went well, would also be changing as a result of his plan).⁵¹ The plan relied, as Stolper noted, on a considerable degree of imagination in the context of a developing economy where few marks were readily available compared to the well established statistical apparatus and factual basis of French indicative economic planning at that same time.

The marks, the numbers, the facts, of economic planning, can be envisioned by participants as consistent only because they are seen and understood within a particular framework. Latour (1986) does not ignore consistency, indeed, he understands it in quite an interesting way as the understood: "visualized and cognized" (to use his terms).

Here with our micro-history of economic planning we are working on a smaller disciplinary canvas, but with quite an ambition, one in which the description of the current economy and imagination about its future came together in a similar kind of "perspective making" in Stolper's planning. It is worth probing this dimension of consistency. Planning of any kind involves certain facts about the future - we can call them "fictions," and the question we raised earlier in this paper was how do these economic fictions become reliable enough - sufficiently fact-like that people will act upon them? In Stolper's form of economic planning, the answer is that those fictions have to be made consistent with the particular facts of today while the nature of the consistency depends upon the economic theory involved. The art of economic describing using the NIA provides a conceptual economic form of perspective within which planning can be done.⁵² As we have seen, in planning, the perspective - linear perspective - allowed people in the early modern times to understand art and mechanics as part of the same consistent world view. It is this consistency that creates credibility. The plan depended upon the economic actors accepting a future portrait of the assumptions yield consistent results - which they did not!" (Stolper, 2003: 290) An inconsistent plan for the future, by infecting the facts about the future, would cast doubt on the integrity of the facts that went into the planning documents. Inconsistency in this context did not just break the chain that binds the past and future facts together, but had the potential to unravel the links all the way back through the chain undermining the past and future facts which had travelled together around the planning circles in the making of the plan.⁵³ Without consistency, as Stolper clearly saw, the plan would not mobilize, and his mission fail.

⁵³ It is at this final point that my argument suggests a link with Latour's (1999) claims about the role of chains of evidence in creating the "reference" relation between words and things in science. Stolper's chains of economic evidence are chains of reference, but in this case, they extend from the future facts back through the current and past facts about the economy to the raw material from which those facts were drawn.

References

Nigerian Government Publications

Economic Survey of Nigeria 1959 (1959) (Nigerian Economic Council). *National Development Plan, 1962-68* (1962) (Federal Ministry of

Economic Development).

Nigerian National Accounts 1950-57 (1961) by Okigbo, Pius N.C. (Federal Ministry of Economic Development).

Other Published Items

Alpers, S (1983) *The Art of Describing: Dutch Art in the 17th Century* (Chicago: University of Chicago Press).

- Arndt, Heinz W. (1987) *Economic Development: The History of an Idea* (Chicago: University of Chicago Press).
- van den Bogaard, Adrienne (1998) *Configuring the Economy: The Emergence of a Modelling Practice in the Netherlands, 1920-1955* (Amsterdam: Thelathesis).
- - . (1999) "Past Measurements and Future Prediction" in *Models as Mediators* ed Mary S. Morgan and Margaret Morrison (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press), pp 282-325.
- Cooper, Frederick & Randall Packard (1997) International Development and the Social Sciences: Essays on the History and Politics of Knowledge (Berkeley: University of California Press).
- Dean, Edwin (1972) *Plan Implementation in Nigeria, 1962-1966* (Ibadan: Oxford University Press).
- Deane, Phyllis (1953) *Colonial Social Accounting*(Cambridge: Cambridge University Press).
- Eicher, C.K. and C Liedholm (1970) eds: *Growth and Development of the Nigerian Economy* (Michigan: Michigan State University Press).

Engerman, David C., Nils Gilman, Mark H. Haefele and Michael E. Latham (2003) eds: *Staging Growth: Modernization, Development and the Global Cold War*, (Amherst: University of Mass. Press).

Escobar, Arturo (1995) Encountering Development: The Making and Unmaking of the Third World Princeton University Press.

- Falola, Toyin (1996) *Development Planning and Decolonization in Nigeria* (Gainseville, FL, University Press of Florida).
- Forrest, Tom (1993) *Politics and Economic Development in Nigeria* (Boulder: Westview Press).
- Gray, Clive S (2003) (ed) Inside Independent Nigeria: Diaries of Wolfgang Stolper, 1960-62 (Aldershot: Ashgate).
- Green, R.H. (1965) "Four African Development Plans: Ghana, Kenya, Nigeria and Tanzania" *Journal of Modern African Studies* 3, 249-279.
- Grubbs, Larry (2006) "Bringing "The gospel of modernization" to Nigeria" *Peace and Change* vol 31:3, p 279-308.
- Hayek, F.A. (1945) "The Use of Knowledge in Society" *American Economic Review*, 35, 519-30.
- Helleiner Gerald K. (1966) *Peasant Agriculture, Government, and Economic Growth in Nigeria* Economic Growth Center, Yale University (Homewood, III: Richard D. Irwin).
- Hirschman, Albert O. (1958) *The Strategy of Economic Development* (New Haven: Yale University Press).

---. (1981) "The Rise and Decline in Development Economics" in *Essays in Trespassing* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press).

Hoselitz, Bert (1952) "Editorial", *Economic Development and Cultural Change*, 1, 3-7.

- IBRD (1955) *The Economic Development of Nigeria* (Report of a Mission Organised by the International Bank for Reconstruction and Development at the Request of the Governments of Nigeria and the United Kingdom) (Baltimore: Johns Hopkins Press for IBRD).
- Ivins, W.M. (1973) On the Rationalization of Sight (New York: Plenem Press).
- Kapur, Devesh, John P. Lewis & Richard Webb (1997) *The World Bank* (Washington, Brookings Institution Press).
- Kilby, Peter (1969) *Industrialization in an Open Economy: Nigeria 1945-1966* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press).
- Latour, Bruno (1986) "Visualization and Cognition: Thinking with Eyes and Hands" *Knowledge and Society*, 6, 1-40.
- Latour, Bruno (1999) "Circulating Reference" in *Pandora's Hope* (Cambridge, Mass: Harvard University Press).
- MacKenzie, Donald (2006) An Engine, Not a Camera: How Financial Models Shape Markets (Cambridge, Mass: MIT Press).
- Meier, Gerald M. (2005) Biography of a Subject: An Evolution of Development Economics (Oxford: Oxford University Press).
 Morgan, Mary S. (2003) "Economics" in

- Schultz, Theodore W. & Gerald M. Meier (1987) (eds) *Pioneers in Development*, Second Series (New York: Oxford University Press for the World Bank).
- Seers, Dudley (1979) "The birth, life, and death of development economics" *Economic Development and Cultural Change*, vol 10, 707-19.
- Speich, Daniel (2008) "Travelling with the GDP through Early Development Economics' History" (Working Paper No 33 "How Well Do 'Facts' Travel?" project, LSE, Department of Economic History).
- Stolper, Wolfgang F. (1960) *The Structure of the East German Economy* (Cambridge, Mass: Harvard University Press).
- --- (1960a) *German Between East and West* (Washington DC: National Planning Association).
- ---. (1962) "The Main Features of the 1962-1968 National Plan" Nigerian Journal of Economic and Social Studies, 4:2, 85-91.
- - . (1963) "The Development of Nigeria" Scientific American, 209:3 (Sept), pp 168-84.
- ---. (1963a) "Politics and Economics in Economic Development" *Rivista di Politica Economica*, June issue, 851-876.
- --- . (1963b) "Economic Development in Nigeria" *Journal of Economic History* XXIII, 391-413.
- - . (1966) Planning Without Facts: Lessons in Resource Allocation from Nigeria's Development (Cambridge, Mass: Harvard University Press).
- - . (1969) "Limitations of Comprehensive Planning in the Face of Comprehensive Uncertainty: Crisis of Planning or Crisis of Planner" Discussion Paper No 10, University of Michigan: Center for Research on Economic Development.

- --- (1994) Joseph Alois Schumpeter: The Public Life of a Private Man (Princeton: Princeton University Press).
- ---. (2003): see Gray (2003).

•

- Tignor, Robert L. (2006) *W. Arthur Lewis and the Birth of Development Economics* (Princeton: Princeton University Press).
- Tinbergen, Jan (1952) *On the Theory of Economic Policy*, Contributions to Economic Analysis, 1 (Amsterdam: North Holland).
- ---. (1954) Centralization and Decentralization in Economic Policy Contributions to Economic Analysis, 6 (Amsterdam: North Holland).
- UN Report (1951) *Measures for the Economic Development of Under-Developed Countries*, Report by a Group of Experts (New York: UN Department of Economic Affairs).

LONDON SCHOOL OF ECONOMICS DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC HISTORY

WORKING PAPERS IN: THE NATURE OF EVIDENCE: HOW WELL DO "FACTS" TRAVEL?

For further copies of this, and to see other titles in the department's group of working paper series, visit our website at: http://www.lse.ac.uk/collections/economichistory/

2005

01/05:	Transferring Technical Knowledge and innovating in Europe, c.1200-c.1800 Stephan R. Epstein
02/05:	A Dreadful Heritage: Interpreting Epidemic Disease at Eyam, 1666-2000 <i>Patrick Wallis</i>
03/05:	Experimental Farming and Ricardo's Political Arithmetic of Distribution Mary S. Morgan
04/05:	Moral Facts and Scientific Fiction: 19 th Century Theological Reactions to Darwinism in Germany <i>Bernhard Kleeberg</i>
05/05:	Interdisciplinarity "In the Making": Modelling Infectious Diseases <i>Erika Mattila</i>
06/05:	Market Disciplines in Victorian Britain Paul Johnson

2006

07/06: Wormy Logic: Model Organisms as Case-based Reasoning *Rachel A. Ankeny*

- 08/06: How The Mind Worked: Some Obstacles And Developments In The Popularisation of Psychology Jon Adams
- 09/06: Mapping Poverty in Agar Town: Economic Conditions Prior to the Development of St. Pancras Station in 1866 *Steven P. Swenson*
- 10/06: "A Thing Ridiculous"? Chemical Medicines and the Prolongation of Human Life in Seventeenth-Century England David Boyd Haycock
- 11/06: Institutional Facts and Standardisation: The Case of Measurements in the London Coal Trade. Aashish Velkar
- 12/06: Confronting the Stigma of Perfection: Genetic Demography, Diversity and the Quest for a Democratic Eugenics in the Post-war United States Edmund Ramsden
- 13/06: Measuring Instruments in Economics and the Velocity of Money Mary S. Morgan
- 14/06: The Roofs of Wren and Jones: A Seventeenth-Century Migration of Technical Knowledge from Italy to England Simona Valeriani
- 15/06: Rodney Hilton, Marxism, and the Transition from Feudalism to Capitalism *Stephan R. Epstein*

2007

- 16/07: Battle in the Planning Office: Biased Experts versus Normative Statisticians *Marcel Boumans*
- 17/07: Trading Facts: Arrow's Fundamental Paradix and the Emergence of Global News Networks, 1750-1900 *Gerben Bakker*

18/07:	Accurate Measurements and Design Standards:
	Consistency of Design and the Travel of 'Facts' Between
	Heterogenous Groups
	Aashish Velkar

- 19/07: When Rabbits became Human (and Humans, Rabbits): Stability, Order, and History in the Study of Populations Paul Erickson and Gregg Mitman
- 20/07: Contesting Democracy: Science Popularisation and Public Choice Jon Adams
- 21/07: Carlyle and the French Enlightenment: Transitional Readings of Voltaire and Diderot *T. J. Hochstrasser*
- 22/07: Apprenticeship and Training in Premodern England *Patrick Wallis*

2008

23/08: Escaping the Laboratory: The Rodent Experiments of John B. Calhoun & Their Cultural Influence Edmund pR8s0 TcPamP

- 28/08: A Journey Through Times and Cultures? Ancient Greek Forms in American Nineteenth-Century Architecture: An Archaeological View Lambert Schneider
- 29/08: Behind the Façade: Elias Holl and the Italian Influence on Building Techniques in Augsburg Simona Valeriani
- 30/08: What happens to facts after their construction? Characteristics and functional roles of facts in the dissemination of knowledge across modelling communities *Erika Mansnerus* (formerly *Mattila*)
- 31/08: 'Voice' and the Facts and Observations of Experience Mary S. Morgan