

## **FAQ 6: How young a child can one work with?**

### **What's the issue?**

In any research with children, including that relating to media and the internet, age differences are consistently amongst the most important background factors. Reporting findings by age, charting age trends, or comparing age groups is expected by most readers. It would be the absence of age differences, not their discovery, that would be counterintuitive, if and when it occurred. A useful principle, therefore, is to assume that each child is



written instructions about the interview techniques and the ethical principles of the research. The interviews usually took place in the school corridor and took about 10–20 minutes; 194 students took part in the project, and 620 interviews were conducted.

The interview method was found to be fluent and efficient. The relationship between the interviewers and respondents was informal, and younger children found it easy to talk with their older students. Ninety-eight per cent of adolescents mentioned that interviewing was a positive experience, and 95% were interested.

- O'Kane, C. (2000). The development of participatory techniques. Facilitating children's views about decisions which affect them. In A. James. (ed.) *Research with children* (pp. 136–159). London: Falmer Press.
- Pääjärvi, S. & Toukonen, L. (2012). Adolescents as questionnaire interviewers – evaluation of the method. In S. Pääjärvi (ed.) *Children's media barometer 2011. Media use among 7–11-year-old-children and their experiences on media education (18–25)*. Finnish Society on Media Education. Available at [www.mediaeducation.fi/publications/ISBN%20978-952-67693-2-5.pdf](http://www.mediaeducation.fi/publications/ISBN%20978-952-67693-2-5.pdf)
- Rice, F. P. (1998). *Human development. A life-span approach* (3rd ed.). Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice Hall.
- Scott, J. (2000). Children as respondents. The challenge for quantitative methods. In A. James (ed.) *Research with children* (pp. 98–119). London: Falmer Press.
- Woodhead, M. & Faulkner, D. (2000). Subjects, objects or participants. In P. Christensen & A. James (eds) *Research with children: Perspectives and practices* (pp. 9–35). London: Falmer Press.
- Zaman, B. (2008). Introducing contextual laddering to evaluate the likeability of games with children. *Cognition, Technology & Work*, 10(2), 107–117.
- Zaman, B. & Abeele, V. V. (2007). How to measure the likeability of tangible interaction with preschoolers. Paper presented at the CHI Nederland,